


Article type:
Original Research

1 Master of Nursing, Maternity Nursing, College of Nursing, University of Karbala, Iraq.
2 Ph.D in Nursing Science, Maternity Nursing, College of Nursing, University of Karbala, Iraq.

Corresponding author email address:
manar.m@s.uokerbala.edu.iq

Cervical Cancer Literacy and Screening Adherence among Female University Staff in Iraq: A Correlational Study

Manar Mohammed. Fadil^{1*} , Sajidah S. Oleiwi²



Article history:

Received 18 Sep 2025
Revised 24 Nov 2025
Accepted 12 Dec 2025
Published online 01 Jan 2026

How to cite this article:

Fadil, M. M., & Oleiwi, S. S. (2026). Cervical Cancer Literacy and Screening Adherence among Female University Staff in Iraq: A Correlational Study. *International Journal of Body, Mind and Culture*, 13(1), 101-111.



© 2025 the authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the association between cervical cancer health literacy and adherence to preventive cervical cancer screening among female university staff in Karbala, Iraq.

Methods and Materials: A cross-sectional correlational study was conducted in January 2025 at the University of Karbala. Using simple random sampling from staff lists, 285 female employees (20–65 years) completed a structured questionnaire including: (1) an Arabic-translated and content-validated Cervical Cancer Awareness Measure (CCAM), and (2) an adherence-to-screening scale. Content validity was assessed by 17 experts; internal consistency was acceptable/excellent (Cronbach's alpha reported in the manuscript). Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation, and simple linear regression (SPSS v26).

Findings: Most participants demonstrated high cervical cancer literacy (mean $\approx 37.46 \pm 5.76$; 64.2% high). Screening adherence was mostly moderate (mean $\approx 15.97 \pm 3.11$; 57.2% moderate; 22.1% low). Cervical cancer literacy showed a significant positive correlation with screening adherence ($r \approx 0.260$, $p < 0.01$). In regression analysis, literacy significantly predicted adherence ($\beta \approx 0.260$; $p < 0.001$), explaining about 6.8% of variance ($R^2 \approx 0.068$).

Conclusion: Higher cervical cancer health literacy was associated with better screening adherence, yet adherence remained suboptimal despite generally high literacy. Multi-level, culturally tailored interventions beyond information provision—addressing barriers, motivation, and service access—are recommended to improve screening uptake.

Keywords: cervical cancer literacy, health literacy, screening adherence, cross-sectional, Iraq.

Introduction

Cervical cancer remains a significant global health challenge, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where late-stage diagnosis and insufficient screening contribute to higher mortality rates (Dozie et al., 2023). It is the fourth most common malignancy among women worldwide (Al-Abedi et al., 2024; Endale et al., 2022). The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that women aged 30 to 49 undergo cervical cancer screening every 3–5 years using visual inspection with acetic acid (Dozie et al., 2023) or high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) testing (Banks et al., 2022).

In Iraq, cervical cancer ranks as the 12th most common cancer among women aged 15–44 and the 15th among all women (Ibrahim-Ojoawo et al., 2024). Studies suggest that the lack of awareness, misconceptions, and cultural barriers contribute to low uptake of preventive screening practices, including Pap smears and HPV testing (Soeung et al., 2022; Abd Ali & Musihb, 2024).

One of the critical determinants influencing women's health-seeking behavior is health literacy, which the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines as “the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions.” Cervical cancer literacy (CCL), a subset of health literacy, reflects an individual's ability to access, comprehend, and apply knowledge related to cervical cancer prevention and screening (Ayamolowo et al., 2020; Al-Abedi et al., 2024). Low CCL is often associated with poor participation in preventive health behaviors,


including screening adherence (Dost & Özdemir, 2024; Abd Ali & Musihb, 2024). This study is theoretically grounded in three prominent models of health behavior: the Health Belief Model (HBM), the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), and Nutbeam's Health Literacy Framework.

According to the HBM, an individual's likelihood to take preventive action is influenced by perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, cues to action, and self-efficacy. In this context, higher CCL may enhance knowledge of cervical cancer, improve awareness of personal risk, and boost confidence in navigating the healthcare system (Yirsaw et al., 2024; Jasim & Oleiwi, 2023). The TPB complements this by highlighting the roles of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control in shaping health intentions and actions. Cervical cancer literacy may positively influence these factors, leading to higher intentions to screen and greater adherence (Mansoor & Yasir, 2016; Riza et al., 2020).

Nutbeam's framework further explains how health literacy enables individuals to make informed health decisions through functional and interactive competencies (Urstad et al., 2022). To visually represent the concept of health literacy that underpins this study, the Integrated Model of Health Literacy is adopted in (Figure1). This model identifies four core competencies—access, understand, appraise, and apply health information—across the domains of healthcare, disease prevention, and health promotion. It provides a comprehensive structure for assessing how health literacy operates in real-life health decisions such as cervical cancer screening (Mekonen et al., 2024).

Figure 2

Integrated Model of Health Literacy adapted from (Sørensen et al., 2012).



This model is essential for conceptualizing the pathways through which cervical cancer health literacy (CCHL) may influence adherence to screening recommendations (Huang & Tan, 2024).

Objectives of the Study

1-To assess the cervical cancer literacy and adherence to preventive screening among female staff

2- To investigate the differences in cervical cancer literacy, adherence to preventive screening among female staff with respect to their socio-demographic characteristics.

Research Hypothesis:

H1: Higher levels of cervical cancer health literacy will be positively correlated with greater adherence to preventive screening among female university staff.

Methods and Materials

Study Design and Setting

A descriptive cross-sectional correlational design was used to examine the association between cervical-cancer literacy and adherence to preventive screening in a single measurement wave (15 Oct 2024 – 01 Jul 2025). The study was conducted at 18 colleges of the University of Karbala, Iraq. All on-campus data collection occurred in staff offices to maximize accessibility.

Population, Sampling, and Sample Size Justification

The target population for this study comprised female staff members (academic and administrative) working at the University of Karbala, aged between 20 and 65 years. The study focused on exploring the relationship between cervical cancer literacy and adherence to preventive screening among this group.

A simple random sampling technique was employed to ensure that each eligible participant had an equal chance of being selected. The study population consisted of 1,450 female staff members from 18 colleges, who were stratified according to their colleges to ensure adequate representation. A list of all eligible female staff members was obtained from the university's administrative records, and each individual was assigned a unique number. A computer-generated randomization process was then used to select participants from each stratum. This method was chosen to minimize selection bias and enhance the generalizability of the findings.

The sample size was calculated using the Steven Thompson formula, considering a population size of 1,450, a 95% confidence level, and a 5% margin of error. Based on these parameters, an appropriate sample size was determined to ensure representativeness. To account for possible non-response or incomplete data, the sample size was increased by 25%, yielding a target of approximately 258 participants. Ultimately, 285 completed responses were included in the final analysis.

Instruments

A structured, self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data. It consisted of the following sections:

1. Socio-demographic Data: Included age, marital status, educational level, smoking status, awareness of human papillomavirus (HPV), and source of information about cervical cancer screening.

2. Cervical Cancer Literacy: This section was adapted from the Cervical Cancer Awareness Measure (CCAM) and included 10 items addressing knowledge of symptoms, risk factors, and prevention methods. Responses were recorded using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

Total scores ranged from 10 to 50. Scores were categorized as follows: low literacy (10–20), moderate literacy (21–35), and high literacy (36–50). Reverse coding was applied to negatively worded items to ensure accurate scoring. Higher scores indicated better literacy regarding cervical cancer (Nwafor-Orizu et al., 2018; Richards, 2009).

3. Adherence to Preventive Screening: This section assessed participants' engagement in preventive practices, including Pap smear testing and HPV vaccination this scale from previous studies (Rimande-Joel & Ekenedo, 2019). It consisted of 9 items, one question was removed based on expert opinion, as it was deemed not suitable for our community. The response options coded as: Always = 3, Sometimes = 2, Never = 1. The total score ranged from 8 (if all responses were "Never") to 24 (if all were "Always"). Scores were categorized into three levels: low adherence (8–13), moderate adherence (14–18), and high adherence (19–24). Low scores reflected poor adherence, moderate scores reflected partial adherence, and high scores indicated strong adherence to screening behaviors to assess the adherence to preventive screening.

Inclusion Criteria

1-Female employees aged between 20 and 65 years.

2-Currently working at a university or college in Iraq.
3-Willingness to participate and provide informed consent.

4-No history of cervical cancer diagnosis or hysterectomy.

Exclusion Criteria

1-Refusal to participate.

2-Incomplete questionnaire data.

3-Participation in the pilot study.

The Validity of the Study Instrument: To ensure the content validity of the study instrument, a panel of 17 experts specializing in nursing, public health, and gynecology was consulted. All experts had more than five years of experience in their respective fields. They were asked to evaluate each item in the questionnaire in terms of relevance, clarity, and cultural appropriateness. Based on the experts' evaluations, several items were revised, added, or removed to improve the instrument's adequacy. Their feedback was carefully considered, and appropriate modifications were made accordingly. As a result of this process, the study instrument was deemed valid in terms of content. The experts were distributed across the relevant fields to provide a comprehensive and multidisciplinary review.

Instruments Reliability: the Alpha Cronbach coefficient was used to assess the internal consistency of the questions. This was done using IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 26.0 on (30) randomly chosen female staff members. The Cronbach's alpha shows Excellent evaluation for Cervical cancer literacy scale (.904), shows good evaluation for Adherence to preventive screening scale (.727). these findings mean that the questionnaires had adequate level of internal consistency and equivalence measurability.

Data collection

The data was gathered on January 2025. Five days a week, from 8:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m., colleges were visited. a Likert scale self-report questionnaire to evaluate female staff' awareness regarding cervical cancer, their adherence to screening for the disease,was employed to gather information following staff agreement to take part in the study, with the researcher outlining the goal of the study to gather data and participant. It took each participant around 15 to 25 minutes to complete the questionnaire.

Analysis

1- Descriptive Analysis

Within the descriptive analysis, the researcher employed a range of mathematical and statistical techniques to quantitatively depict essential attributes of the data. This was accomplished through the creation of tables and charts, serving as visual aids to effectively convey the key characteristics of the dataset. The primary objective of employing descriptive statistics was to present a clear, categorized, and summarized representation of the data, facilitating easy comprehension for the intended audience. This methodological approach ensured a thorough exploration of the dataset, enhancing the overall interpretability of the research findings:

Utilizing statistical tables such as Frequencies (No.) and Percent (%); and Computing the average scores overall mean score ($M \pm$).

The mean score is computed as follows: Total mean of scores=(Maximum total sores-Minimum total sores)/Levels; Cervical Cancer Literacy (Low= 10-23.33; Moderate=23.34-36.66; High= 36.67-50); Adherence to Preventive Screening (Low= 8-13.33; Moderate=13.34-18.66; High= 18.67-24). Additionally, the standard deviation test $\pm SD$ is employed.

2. Inferential approach

1. Cronbach alpha

The analysis involves employing the Cronbach alpha correlational coefficient, which assesses the internal consistency of the study instrument.

$$\alpha = (N * \bar{c}) / (\bar{v} + (N - 1) * \bar{c})$$

2. Tests of Normality

Non-normally distributed data were presented as median or mean \pm standard deviation and compared using Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S test) and Shapiro-Wilk tests.

$$D = \max | F(x) - S_n(x) |$$

$$W = ((\sum a_i * x_i)^2) / \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

3. Non-Parametric Tests

Kruskal-Wallis H Test: It is employed to assess variations in dependent variables concerning independent variables. This test is applicable when there are more than two categorical variables. When the obtained p-value is below 0.05, it signifies statistically significant differences.

$$H = (12 / (N * (N + 1))) * \sum (R_i^2 / n_i) - 3(N + 1)$$

Mann-Whitney U Test

The Mann-Whitney U test, also a non-parametric technique suitable for non-normally distributed data, serves to identify discrepancies in dependent variables relative to independent variables. It is specifically geared towards scenarios where there are two categorical variables. A significance level of 0.05 is employed to interpret the results, indicating whether statistically significant differences exist.

$$U = n_1 * n_2 + (n_1 * (n_1 + 1)) / 2 - R_1$$

4. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient

This test used to correlate between study variables in which (Foroutan & Bijani) means negative correlation and (+r) means positive correlation at significant level 0.01** and 0.05*.

$$r = (n * \Sigma xy - \Sigma x * \Sigma y) / \sqrt{[(n * \Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2) * (n * \Sigma y^2 - (\Sigma y)^2)]}$$

5. Simple Liner Regression Analysis

To test which continuous variables can predict nurses practices. In which, (-β) means negative prediction and (+β) positive predication).

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * X$$

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the College of Medicine, University of Karbala (Approval Code: IRB-KARBALA-2024/CCL). Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained throughout the study.

Findings and Results

Table 1

Distribution of Study Sample by Their Socio-demographic Variables (N = 285).

Variable	Categories	n	%
Age group (years)	20–29	104	36.5%
	30–39	111	39.0%
	40–49	49	17.2%
	≥50	21	7.4%
Marital status	Married	189	66.3%
	Single	96	33.7%
Educational level	Diploma	36	12.6%
	Bachelor's degree	184	64.6%
	Master's degree	66	23.2%
	Doctorate	3	1.1%
Smoking status	Smoker	4	1.4%
	Non-smoker	281	98.6%
Awareness of HPV vaccine	Yes	129	45.3%
	No	156	54.7%
Source of Pap smear info	Specialist doctor	118	41.4%
	Internet	96	33.7%
	Social media	41	14.4%
	TV/radio	30	10.5%

The study sample consisted of 285 female university staff members. The majority were aged 20–39 years (75.4%), with a mean age of 34.05 ± 9.925 years. Most participants held a Bachelor's degree (64.6%), followed by a Master's degree (23.5%). The majority were married (66.3%), and nearly all participants were non-

smokers (98.6%). Notably, 54.7% were unaware of the HPV vaccine. The primary sources of information regarding the Pap test were specialist doctors (41.4%) and the internet (33.7%) shown in Table 1. No significant issues with missing data were encountered.

Table 2*Overall Evaluation of Cervical Cancer Literacy and Adherence to Preventive Screening (N = 285)*

Variable	Category	N	%	Mean \pm SD
Cervical Cancer Literacy	Low (10–23.33)	6	2.1%	37.46 \pm 5.764
	Moderate (23.34–36.66)	96	33.7%	
	High (36.67–50)	183	64.2%	
	Total	285	100%	
Adherence to Screening	Low (8–13.33)	63	22.1%	15.97 \pm 3.113
	Moderate (13.34–18.66)	163	57.2%	
	High (18.67–24)	59	20.7%	
	Total	285	100%	

As presented in Table 2 Cervical cancer literacy was high among 64.2% of respondents, with a mean score of 37.46 ± 5.764 . A moderate level of literacy was reported by 33.7%, while only 2.1% had low literacy. Regarding

adherence to preventive screening, 57.2% of respondents reported moderate adherence, 20.7% had high adherence, and 22.1% had low adherence. The mean adherence score was 15.97 ± 3.113 .


Figure 1*Distribution of Cervical Cancer Literacy Levels and Adherence to Preventive Screening.*

Figure 1, visually represents the distribution of cervical cancer literacy levels and adherence to preventive screening among the university female staff. The figure complements the quantitative data presented

in Table 2 by providing a clear visual comparison of the proportions of low, moderate, and high literacy and adherence levels

Table 3*Pearson's Correlation Coefficients Between Age, Cervical Cancer Literacy, and Preventive Screening (N = 285).*

Variable	1. Age	2. Cervical Cancer Literacy	3. Preventive Screening
1. Age	1		
2. Cervical Cancer Literacy	-0.091	1	
3. Preventive Screening	0.076	0.260	1

Note. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

As shown in Table 3, Pearson's correlation analysis revealed that age was not significantly associated with either cervical cancer literacy ($r = -0.091$, $p > 0.05$) or adherence to preventive screening ($r = 0.076$, $p > 0.05$).

However, there was a statistically significant positive correlation between cervical cancer literacy and adherence to screening ($r = 0.260$, $p < 0.01$). Approximate 95% CI for $r = 0.260$ is (0.148, 0.366).

Table 4

Simple Linear Regression Predicting Adherence to Preventive Screening from Cervical Cancer Literacy (N = 285).

Predictor	B	SE B	β	T	p-value	R ²	F
Cervical Cancer Literacy	0.141	0.029	0.260	4.875	< .001	0.068	23.766

Note. B = Unstandardized coefficient; SE B = Standard Error; β = Standardized coefficient.

As presented in Table 4, A simple linear regression was conducted to determine whether cervical cancer literacy predicted adherence to preventive screening. The model was statistically significant: $F(1, 283) =$

Presents the results of non-parametric tests examining differences in cervical cancer literacy and adherence across various socio-demographic variables. These analyses aimed to identify whether factors such as education level, marital status, smoking status,

23.766, $p < 0.001$, with $R^2 = 0.068$. Cervical cancer literacy accounted for 6.8% of the variance in adherence scores. For every one-unit increase in literacy, adherence increased by 0.141 units ($\beta = 0.260$, $t = 4.875$, $p < 0.001$). awareness of the HPV vaccine, and source of information about the Pap test are associated with significant differences in participants' literacy and screening behaviors.

Table 5

Differences in Cervical Cancer Literacy and Screening Adherence According to Socio-demographic Variables (N = 285).

Socio-demographic Variable	Variable Category	Test Used	Literacy (p-value)	Adherence (p-value)
Education Level	Primary, Secondary, Higher	Kruskal-Wallis χ^2	.327	.115
Marital Status	Single, Married, Widowed	Kruskal-Wallis χ^2	.895	.227
Smoking Status	Smoker, Non-smoker	Mann-Whitney U	.164	.311
Awareness of HPV Vaccine	Yes, No	Mann-Whitney U	.006**	.081
Source of Pap Test Information	Social media, Doctors, TV, Other	Kruskal-Wallis χ^2	.030*	.205

Note. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$

As shown in Table 5, statistically significant differences were found in literacy levels among participants who were aware of the HPV vaccine ($p = .006$), as well as among those who received Pap test information from different sources ($p = .030$). In contrast, no significant differences were observed based on education level, marital status, smoking status, or in adherence rates relative to any of the socio-demographic characteristics.

Discussion and Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between cervical cancer health literacy (CCHL) and adherence to preventive screening among female university staff in Karbala, Iraq. Although 64.2% of participants demonstrated high levels of CCHL, adherence to screening was found to be only moderate, with a mean adherence score of 15.97 (± 3.11). Notably, 22.1% of participants reported low adherence, and the overall adherence rate stood at 57.2%.

A statistically significant yet weak positive correlation was observed between CCHL and adherence to screening, indicating that health literacy accounted for only a small proportion of the variance in adherence behavior. This reflects a commonly observed "knowledge-to-action" gap in health behavior literature, whereby knowledge alone does not necessarily translate into action (Rubin et al., 2023). According to the Health Belief Model (HBM), additional factors—such as perceived barriers (e.g., financial cost, limited access, cultural stigma, fear, modesty) and cues to action (e.g., physician recommendations, social influence)—likely exert a more substantial influence on screening adherence.

The high CCHL levels among this cohort are consistent with expectations for a university-educated population. These findings contrast with lower levels of awareness reported among university staff in Ethiopia (Tekle et al., 2020), but are slightly below those observed in a Canadian study where 78% of university employees demonstrated high CCHL (Tatar et al., 2024). While the adherence rate in this study surpasses those reported in some LMICs such as rural India and Ethiopia (35–42%) (Stroetmann et al., 2024), it remains suboptimal when compared to high-income countries with well-established national screening programs (Arbyn et al., 2021). This disparity may be attributed to differences in health system infrastructure, the intensity of public education campaigns, and the accessibility and affordability of screening services.

Regression analysis confirmed that CCHL accounted for 6.7% of the variance in screening adherence. Additionally, specialist doctors were identified as the most influential source of information ($r = 0.260$, $p = 0.035$), reinforcing the central role of healthcare providers in promoting awareness and guiding

preventive behaviors. Awareness of the HPV vaccine was also positively associated with CCHL, further emphasizing the value of focused health promotion initiatives.

Despite high levels of health literacy, the moderate adherence observed suggests that knowledge alone is insufficient to drive preventive behavior. Within the HBM framework, this outcome can be interpreted as a function of competing influences—such as perceived barriers, benefits, and cues to action—that interact to shape health-related decision-making. Other unmeasured factors, including psychosocial dynamics, organizational characteristics of the health system, and prevailing socio-cultural norms, may also contribute to the observed behavior.

No significant association was found between age and either CCHL or adherence. This may be attributed to the relatively homogenous educational and occupational context of the study population, where access to information is not strongly age-dependent.

This study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings: The study's design does not allow for causal inference between cervical cancer literacy and screening adherence; it only establishes correlation. The sample was drawn from a single university and consisted of literate, employed women—likely more educated and health-aware than the general female population in Iraq. This limits the generalizability of the findings. Both cervical cancer literacy (CCHL) and adherence behaviors were self-reported, which may be subject to social desirability or recall bias. Potential variables such as health insurance status, detailed gynecological history, partner support, and specific cultural beliefs were not assessed. While the CCAM tool was used and pilot-tested, further validation within the Iraqi Arabic context is recommended to confirm its psychometric robustness. The regression model accounted for only 6.7% of the variance in adherence, suggesting the influence of other psychosocial, cultural, or systemic factors not captured in the study.

This study underscores the significant yet modest positive correlation between cervical cancer health literacy (CCHL) and adherence to preventive screening among female university staff in Karbala, Iraq. Although participants exhibited relatively high levels of literacy, actual adherence to screening practices remained

moderate, indicating a persistent gap between knowledge and action. The findings suggest that literacy alone is not a sufficient driver of behavior change. The weak but statistically significant correlation ($r = .260$, $p < 0.01$) confirms that other factors—such as accessibility to services, cultural norms, and individual motivation—are influential.

Notably, health motivation emerged as a crucial determinant of screening behavior. While age and education level were not significantly correlated with either CCHL or adherence ($r = -.091$ and $r = .076$, respectively), marital status showed a notable association with higher screening rates, suggesting that social roles and responsibilities may affect health behavior more than demographic variables alone.

Furthermore, awareness of the HPV vaccine was significantly associated with higher literacy scores ($p = 0.013$), highlighting the importance of vaccine-related education as a pathway to strengthening overall health literacy. The lack of a significant direct link between HPV awareness and adherence suggests that while knowledge may be increasing, it is not yet translating effectively into action.

These findings point to the need for multi-level, culturally tailored interventions that go beyond information dissemination to actively engage women in behavior change. Effective strategies may include university-based wellness programs, partnerships with healthcare providers, and the use of diverse communication platforms (e.g., workshops, printed materials, digital media) to enhance understanding and address perceived barriers.

In light of the study's conclusions and identified limitations, the following recommendations are proposed:

The Ministries of Health and Higher Education should collaborate to implement comprehensive, culturally sensitive cervical cancer education programs, particularly targeting university populations. These programs should emphasize the importance of early detection, the role of the HPV vaccine, and steps for preventive screening. Incorporating such content into university curricula and campus health services would enhance reach and sustainability.

Introduce convenient, female-friendly screening services on campus or through mobile clinics. Reducing logistical and cultural barriers—such as privacy

concerns, distance, or provider gender—can increase uptake. Develop behavioral interventions that focus on enhancing self-efficacy, reducing perceived barriers, and delivering clear cues to action. Tailored messaging should consider marital status, perceived risk, and readiness to act. Equip providers with communication skills that emphasize empathy, cultural competence, and clarity in conveying the importance of screening. This will help build trust and motivate behavior change.

Conduct mixed-methods studies to further investigate the psychosocial and systemic barriers to cervical cancer screening in Iraq. Including qualitative approaches will provide deeper insights into cultural norms, stigma, and individual beliefs. Assess the effectiveness of educational and motivational strategies over time to identify best practices and adapt programs to evolving needs.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude and appreciation to all participants.

Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

Ethical Considerations

The study protocol adhered to the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration, which provides guidelines for ethical research involving human participants. Ethical considerations in this study were that participation was entirely optional.

Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contribute to this study.

References

- Abd Ali, A. M., & Musihb, Z. S. (2024). Mothers' practices in prevention of sudden infant death syndrome in Holy Kerbala City. *Iranian Rehabilitation Journal*, 22(1), 75-82. <https://doi.org/10.32598/irj.22.1.1619.4>
- Al-Abedi, G. A., Mansoor, H. I., & Faris, S. H. (2024). Older Adult Self-care and Related Factors at Primary Health Care Centers. *Bahrain Medical Bulletin*, 46(1).
- Arbyn, M., Gultekin, M., Morice, P., Nieminen, P., Cruickshank, M., Poortmans, P., Kelly, D., Poljak, M., Bergeron, C., & Ritchie, D. (2021). The European response to the WHO call to eliminate cervical cancer as a public health problem. *International Journal of Cancer*, 148(2), 277-284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33189>
- Ayamolowo, S. J., Akinrinde, L. F., Oginni, M. O., & Ayamolowo, L. B. (2020). The influence of health literacy on knowledge of cervical cancer prevention and screening practices among female undergraduates at a university in Southwest Nigeria. *Africa Journal of Nursing and Midwifery*, 22(1), 14 pages-14 pages. <https://doi.org/10.25159/2520-5293/6615>
- Banks, K. S., James, C. M., Nganwa, D., Heath, J., Webb, L., Elhussin, I., Faraj, R., & Abdalla, E. (2022). Knowledge and awareness about cervical cancer and Human Papillomavirus among women living in Macon County, Alabama. *Journal of Healthcare, Science and the Humanities*, 12(1), 13.
- Dost, A., & Özdemir, İ. N. (2024). Women's Health Literacy Levels and Health Beliefs Concerning Cervical Cancer and Pap Smear Test in Türkiye. *Medical Journal of Bakirkoy*. <https://doi.org/10.4274/BMJ.galenos.2024.2023.6-3>
- Dozie, U. W., Ezeala, O. V., David, C. I., Dozie, K. C. N., Chukwuocha, U. M., Iwuala, C. C., Osuoji, N. J., Okoro, C. C., Chukwu, O. R., & Eneh, S. C. (2023). Factors associated with health seeking delay in the screening of cervical cancer among women in Imo state, south Eastern Nigeria. *Cancer Treatment and Research Communications*, 37, 100765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2023.100765>
- Endale, H., Mulugeta, T., & Habte, T. (2022). The socioeconomic impact of cervical cancer on patients in Ethiopia: evidence from tikur anbessa specialized hospital. *Cancer management and research*, 1615-1625. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S352389>
- Foroutan, Y., & Bijani, H.-R. (2021). Childlessness in Iran: Findings and solutions. *Journal of Population Association of Iran*, 16(32), 177-203.
- Huang, Q., & Tan, L.-Y. (2024). Exploring Factors Influencing Cervical Cancer Screening Participation among Singaporean Women: A Social Ecological Approach. *Cancers*, 16(20), 3475. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers16203475>
- Ibrahim-Ojoawo, A., Powe, N., Rogers, R., Learman, K., & Hefner, H. (2024). A Cross-Sectional Analysis of 2017-2022 National Immunization Survey: Sociodemographic Disparities Associated With Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Initiation and Completion Series Among US Adolescents. *Cancer Control*, 31, 10732748241293674. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10732748241293674>
- Jasim, R. N., & Olewi, S. S. (2023). Knowledge of Iraqi girls towards polycystic ovary syndrome. *Health*, 2, 1-14.
- Mansoor, H. I., & Yasir, A. A. (2016). Evaluation of the activities of health promotion and communicable disease staff for the control of cholera epidemic in Al-Hilla city. *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, 9(11).
- Mekonen, E. G., Gebrehana, D. A., & Tamir, T. T. (2024). Determinants of cervical cancer screening among women of childbearing age in four sub-Saharan African countries: insights from large population surveys. *BMC cancer*, 24(1), 1304. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-024-13079-8>
- Nwafor-Orizu, E. O., Umunnakwe, G. C., & Onwudinjo, O. T. (2018). Cervical Cancer Health Literacy Among Female Librarians in Imo State, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy & Practice*.
- Richards, M. (2009). The national awareness and early diagnosis initiative in England: assembling the evidence. *British journal of cancer*, 101(Suppl 2), S1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6605382>
- Rimande-Joel, R., & Ekenedo, G. O. (2019). Knowledge, Belief and practice of cervical cancer screening and prevention among women of Taraba, North-East Nigeria. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP*, 20(11), 3291. <https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.11.3291>
- Riza, E., Karakosta, A., Tsiampalis, T., Lazarou, D., Karachaliou, A., Ntelis, S., Karageorgiou, V., & Psaltopoulou, T. (2020). Knowledge, attitudes and perceptions about cervical cancer risk, prevention and human papilloma virus (HPV) in vulnerable women in Greece. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6892. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186892>
- Rubin, L., Okitondo, C., Haines, L., & Ebell, M. (2023). Interventions to increase colorectal cancer screening adherence in low-income settings within the United States: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 172, 107522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2023.107522>
- Sobotka, T., Skirbekk, V., & Philipov, D. (2011). Economic recession and fertility in the developed world. *Population and development review*, 37(2), 267-306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2011.00411.x>
- Soeung, S. C., Komagata, T., Darapheack, C., Kikuchi, S., Obara, H., Haruyama, R., Kanal, K., Fujita, N., Yanaihara, N., & Okamoto, A. (2022). Knowledge and practice for cervical cancer among female primary school teachers in Phnom Penh, Cambodia: A cross-sectional phone-based survey. *GHM open*, 2(1), 25-30. <https://doi.org/10.35772/ghmo.2022.01005>
- Sørensen, K., Van den Broucke, S., Fullam, J., Doyle, G., Pelikan, J., Slonska, Z., Brand, H., & European, C. H. L. P. (2012). Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC public health*, 12(1), 80. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-80>
- Stroetmann, C. Y., Gizaw, M., Alemayehu, R., Wondimagegnehu, A., Rabe, F., Santos, P., Mchome, B., Mmbaga, B. T., Addissie, A., & Kantelhardt, E. J. (2024). Adherence to Treatment and follow-up of precancerous cervical lesions in Ethiopia. *The Oncologist*, 29(5), e655-e664. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyae027>
- Tatar, O., Haward, B., Perez, S., Zhu, P., Brotherton, J., Decker, K., Lofters, A. K., Mayrand, M.-H., McBride, E., & Ogilvie, G. (2024). On the path toward cervical cancer elimination in Canada: a national survey of factors influencing women's intentions to participate in human papillomavirus test-based primary cervical screening. *The Lancet Regional Health—Americas*, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2024.100901>
- Tekle, T., Wolka, E., Nega, B., Kumma, W. P., & Koyira, M. M. (2020). Knowledge, attitude and practice towards cervical cancer screening among women and associated factors in hospitals of Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Cancer management and research*, 993-1005. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S240364>
- Urstad, K. H., Andersen, M. H., Larsen, M. H., Borge, C. R., Helseth, S., & Wahl, A. K. (2022). Definitions and measurement of health literacy in health and medicine research: a systematic review. *BMJ open*, 12(2), e056294. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056294>

Yirsaw, A. N., Tefera, M., Bogale, E. K., Anagaw, T. F., Tiruneh, M. G., Fenta, E. T., Endeshaw, D., Adal, O., Tareke, A. A., & Jemberu, L. (2024). Applying the Health Belief Model to cervical cancer screening uptake among women in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC cancer*, 24(1), 1294. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-024-13055-2>